SMART INTERNATIONAL MANAGEMENT JOURNAL (SIMJ)

Vol. 2 No. 4 (2025) 56 - 65 | ISSN: 3048-0019 (Media Online)

Strategic Approaches in Corporate Financial Management in Response to Economic Uncertainty

Baiq Dewi Lita Andiana [1*]

[1] Universitas Islam Al-Azhar

* Correspondence: baiqdewi@unizar.ac.id

Article Information

Received: October 15, 2025
Revised: December 06, 2025
Online: December 23, 2025

ABSTRACT

Economic policy uncertainty arising from fiscal, monetary, and regulatory fluctuations poses significant challenges to corporate financial decision-making. Financial managers are therefore required to maintain business stability and sustainability amid dynamic and unpredictable conditions. This study examined financial management strategies adopted by companies in response to economic policy uncertainty and analyzed their theoretical and practical implications. Using a descriptive qualitative approach through a literature review, data were collected from scientific journals, academic books, and reports published by international financial institutions. The findings reveal that companies tend to implement conservative and flexible strategies, including increasing cash reserves, reducing leverage, diversifying business and operational activities, and utilizing financial derivatives for risk mitigation. Firms also tend to delay large-scale investments and rely more heavily on internal financing. These responses reflect corporate efforts to cope with heightened policy risk and support the relevance of trade-off theory, pecking order theory, and signaling theory in corporate financial decision-making under uncertainty. Overall, adaptive and risk-anticipative financial management strategies have become crucial for enhancing corporate resilience and flexibility. This study contributes both conceptually and practically to the development of stronger corporate financial policies in the face of global and domestic economic uncertainty.

Keywords: financial management; policy uncertainty; corporate strategy; investment; capital structure.

Smart International Management Journal, December **2025**, Vol 2, No 4

1. Introduction

Economic policy uncertainty (EPU) represents one of the most critical challenges in the contemporary global business environment. Globalization has intensified the interconnectedness between economic policies in developed economies and their spillover effects on developing countries. Over the past decade, a series of global economic and political events—including the US–China trade war, Brexit, and the COVID-19 pandemic—have significantly heightened EPU. These conditions were further exacerbated by geopolitical tensions between Russia and Ukraine and aggressive interest rate policies implemented by the Federal Reserve. Collectively, these developments have disrupted global markets and undermined business leaders' expectations in formulating long-term strategic decisions [1].

According to Baker, Bloom, and Davis, elevated EPU directly contributes to declining private investment, heightened capital market volatility, and increased capital costs driven by rising risk perceptions [1]. Global EPU levels surged markedly during the 2020–2023 period, reaching unprecedented levels during the pandemic and the subsequent phase of restrictive monetary policy implementation [1].

In developing economies such as Indonesia, heightened EPU triggers cascading effects on exchange rate volatility, foreign capital flows, and domestic market stability [2]. National-level uncertainty is further intensified by political dynamics surrounding elections, abrupt fiscal policy adjustments, energy price reforms, and the ongoing implementation of downstream industrial policies in mining and food sectors [3,4]. Bank Indonesia's 2023 report indicates that increased EPU has contributed to slower investment growth in capital-intensive sectors while simultaneously amplifying corporate demand for financial risk mitigation strategies [4].

Under such uncertain conditions, firms are required to adopt adaptive financial management strategies grounded in rigorous risk assessment. Brigham and Ehrhardt emphasize that corporate financial decisions—particularly those related to capital structure, liquidity management, and financing choices—must incorporate expectations regarding macroeconomic policy shifts and market volatility [5]. Similarly, Gitman and Zutter underscore the strategic importance of financial

Smart International Management Journal, December **2025**, Vol 2, No 4

flexibility, defined as a firm's capacity to swiftly adjust its financial structure and funding strategies in response to external economic shocks [6].

Empirical evidence suggests that firms capable of proactive financial adaptation tend to demonstrate greater resilience during periods of heightened uncertainty. Campello, Graham, and Harvey find that companies maintaining adequate cash reserves and responsive financial planning systems outperform their peers during global financial crises [7]. Supporting this observation, data from Indonesia's Investment Coordinating Board (BKPM) reveal that domestic investment realization (PMDN) slowed in the fourth quarter of 2023, largely due to firms postponing investment decisions while awaiting clarity on fiscal policy direction amid governmental transition [8].

Despite the growing body of literature examining the macroeconomic consequences of EPU, limited empirical attention has been devoted to understanding how EPU shapes corporate financial management strategies in developing country contexts, particularly in Indonesia. This study addresses this gap by analyzing the strategic implications of EPU for corporate financial decision-making, with a focus on adaptive financial policies that enhance organizational resilience under conditions of heightened uncertainty. By doing so, the study aims to provide both theoretical insights and practical guidance for firms seeking to navigate uncertain economic environments and transform volatility into opportunities for long-term financial strengthening.

2. Materials and Method

Materials

Economic policy uncertainty represents a key external risk factor influencing corporate financial decision-making in the contemporary global business environment. Globalization has intensified interconnections between developed and developing countries' policies, creating complex, unpredictable business landscapes. Over the past decade, global shocks such as the US–China trade war, Brexit, COVID-19, Russia-Ukraine tensions, and Federal Reserve interest rate hikes have disrupted markets and corporate decision-making [1].

In Indonesia, domestic uncertainty arises from political dynamics around elections, fiscal and energy policy adjustments, and ongoing industrial

Smart International Management Journal, December **2025**, Vol 2, No 4

downstreaming policy revisions, affecting capital flows, exchange rates, and domestic investment [3,4]. Gulen and Ion (2016) report that EPU negatively affects capital expenditures, as firms delay investments when policy direction is unclear [14]. Brogaard and Detzel (2015) highlight that policy uncertainty increases stock volatility and risk premiums, raising firms' cost of capital [27].

In emerging markets, firms increase cash holdings and adjust leverage to mitigate policy risk [17]. Firms increasingly adopt hedging, diversification, and financial slack to shield against external shocks [9–12]. Chen, He, and Zhang (2021) and Wang and Wang (2023) emphasize corporate governance and transparent reporting as pillars of financial resilience [10,11]. Digital transformation—including ERP, predictive analytics, and real-time dashboards—enhances adaptive capacity [12].

BKPM reports that Indonesian manufacturing firms postponed expansion in Q4 2023 awaiting policy clarity [8,14]. Deloitte (2022) notes that companies integrating scenario analysis, stress testing, and policy risk management show higher EBITDA stability under uncertainty [13,22].

The study is grounded in several theoretical frameworks: Agency Theory (owner-manager conflicts), Stakeholder Theory (balanced stakeholder relations), Signaling Theory (conveying stability via dividends, cash, or debt reduction), Trade-off Theory (managing debt-equity balance under uncertainty), and Pecking Order Theory (preference for internal financing) [14,15].

Method

This study employs a descriptive qualitative research design using a literature review approach, drawing on peer-reviewed publications and institutional reports published primarily over the last decade. The primary objective of this method is to provide an in-depth examination of corporate financial management strategies adopted in response to economic policy uncertainty. Data sources consist of secondary literature, including international peer-reviewed journal articles, academic textbooks, research reports from international institutions such as the International Monetary Fund (IMF), World Bank, and OECD, as well as other relevant scholarly publications.

Smart International Management Journal, December **2025**, Vol 2, No 4

The collected data were analyzed using content analysis techniques by systematically identifying, classifying, and evaluating relevant theories, empirical findings, and documented corporate practices within the academic literature. The main analytical focus is placed on corporate financial policy responses, including capital structure decisions, cash holding policies, investment strategies, and the use of hedging instruments in the face of fiscal and monetary policy fluctuations.

This study does not involve human or animal subjects and therefore does not require ethical approval. All materials and data used are derived from publicly available sources, and no restrictions apply to data accessibility.

3. Result

This section presents the key findings derived from the qualitative literature review concerning corporate financial responses to economic policy uncertainty (EPU). The results are organized thematically to highlight dominant strategic patterns identified across prior empirical studies.

Cash Holdings and Leverage Adjustment

The review reveals that firms commonly respond to heightened EPU by adopting more conservative financial policies, particularly through increasing cash holdings. Elevated liquidity buffers are maintained to absorb revenue volatility and mitigate potential disruptions in external financing markets. This behavior is consistently observed across emerging economies, as documented by Zhang et al. (2020), who report that firms significantly increased cash reserves during periods of intensified policy uncertainty as a precautionary measure [16].

In parallel, firms tend to reduce leverage levels to limit exposure to bankruptcy risk associated with interest rate volatility and tightening credit conditions. Evidence from Luo, Wang, and Xi (2022) indicates that firms characterized by controlled leverage and strong cash flow positions exhibit greater financial resilience during uncertain economic periods [17].

Smart International Management Journal, December **2025**, Vol 2, No 4

Business Diversification and Risk Hedging

Business and geographical diversification emerges as an important strategic response to EPU. Firms that diversify operations across markets and sectors are better positioned to absorb policy-induced shocks. Arouri, El Ghoul, and Guedhami (2023) find that diversified firms demonstrate enhanced financial stability and benefit from stronger market confidence during global economic disruptions [18].

Additionally, the use of financial derivatives—particularly for exchange rate and interest rate hedging—is identified as a key risk management tool. Chen, He, and Zhang (2021) show that firms actively engaging in hedging practices experience reduced financial volatility and improved performance stability under conditions of heightened global uncertainty [19].

Investment Decisions and Financing Preferences

With respect to investment behavior, the findings indicate a tendency among firms to delay large-scale capital expenditures during periods of elevated EPU. Firms also exhibit a stronger preference for internal financing, consistent with the predictions of pecking order theory. Li and Yang (2019) demonstrate that uncertainty and information asymmetry encourage reliance on retained earnings to preserve financial flexibility [20].

Political uncertainty, particularly during pre-election periods, further amplifies investment delays. Evidence from Arifin and Pratama (2024) shows a notable slowdown in manufacturing sector investment prior to government transitions, reflecting firms' cautious, wait-and-see strategies in anticipation of potential policy shifts [21].

Strategic Financial Planning under Uncertainty

Overall, the reviewed literature emphasizes the importance of flexible and forward-looking financial planning in environments characterized by volatile economic policy conditions. Deloitte Insights (2022) highlights stress testing, scenario analysis, and dynamic financial reporting systems as essential tools for enhancing corporate resilience against both global and domestic sources of uncertainty [22]. Collectively, these findings suggest that firms facing heightened EPU adopt a

Smart International Management Journal, December **2025**, Vol 2, No *4*

combination of liquidity management, risk diversification, cautious investment behavior, and adaptive financial planning to manage policy-related risks effectively.

4. Discussion

The findings indicate that economic policy uncertainty compels firms to adjust their financial management strategies toward more conservative and flexible approaches. These strategies reflect deliberate efforts to balance liquidity, risk, and financial flexibility in response to fluctuations in fiscal, monetary, and political policies. Caldara et al. [20] demonstrate that rising policy uncertainty leads to reduced investment and higher capital costs, particularly in developing economies, supporting the rationale for conservative financial behavior.

Beyond risk mitigation, conservative financial strategies also contribute to firm value stability. Nguyen and Phan [21] find that firms maintaining operational efficiency during uncertain periods exhibit more stable profitability indicators. Business and geographical diversification further enable firms to reduce dependence on domestic markets and explore cross-border opportunities. Xu et al. [12] emphasize that digital capabilities enhance firms' ability to adapt supply chains and financing decisions during crises.

The use of hedging instruments highlights the importance of managerial capability in managing market-based financial risks. Clear information disclosure and consistent financial policies serve as positive market signals, reinforcing signaling theory by conveying financial stability and risk awareness to investors under uncertain conditions [26].

Political uncertainty during election cycles has also been shown to suppress private investment. Brogaard, Detzel, and Taboada [23] document investment slowdowns around election periods, a phenomenon also observed in Indonesia based on BKPM data [8]. From a managerial perspective, integrating real-time reporting, predictive analytics, and scenario planning into financial systems is essential for navigating uncertainty and sustaining investor confidence [22].

Overall, adaptive financial management strategies represent not only defensive responses but also a strategic transformation toward long-term resilience, supported

Smart International Management Journal, December **2025**, Vol 2, No 4

by Prudential financial practices, digitalization, and strengthened corporate governance.

5. Conclusions

Economic policy uncertainty arising from fiscal, monetary, and regulatory dynamics exerts substantial pressure on corporate financial decision-making. Based on a comprehensive literature review, this study concludes that firms predominantly respond by adopting conservative and adaptive financial strategies, including increasing cash holdings, reducing leverage, postponing long-term investments, diversifying business activities, and utilizing hedging instruments.

Firms that adopt flexible, scenario-based financial management approaches supported by transparent and digitally enabled reporting systems demonstrate stronger resilience and higher market confidence. The findings reinforce the relevance of trade-off theory, pecking order theory, signaling theory, and agency theory in explaining corporate financial behavior under uncertainty.

However, this study is limited to a qualitative literature-based approach. Future research may incorporate empirical analysis across specific industries or regions to further validate and extend these findings.

References

1. Baker, S.R.; Bloom, N.; Davis, S.J. Measuring economic policy uncertainty. Q J Econ 2016, 131(4), 1593–1636.
2. World Bank. Global Economic Prospects: Slowing Growth and Persistent Uncertainty; World Bank: Washington, DC, USA, 2023.
3. OECD. Economic Outlook for Southeast Asia, China and India 2023: Reviving Tourism Post-Pandemic; OECD Publishing: Paris, France, 2023.
4. Bank Indonesia. Laporan Perekonomian Indonesia 2023; Bank Indonesia: Jakarta, Indonesia, 2024.
5. Brigham, E.F.; Ehrhardt, M.C. Financial Management: Theory and Practice, 14th ed.; Cengage Learning: Boston, MA, USA, 2014.
6. Gitman, L.J.; Zutter, C.J. Principles of Managerial Finance, 14th ed.; Pearson Education: Boston, MA, USA, 2015.

Smart International Management Journal, December **2025**, Vol 2, No 4

7. Campello, M.; Graham, J.R.; Harvey, C.R. The real effects of financial constraints: Evidence from a financial crisis. J Financ Econ 2010, 97(3), 470–487.
8. BKPM. Realisasi Investasi Triwulan IV 2023; Badan Koordinasi Penanaman Modal: Jakarta, Indonesia, 2024.
9. Bartram, S.M.; Brown, G.W.; Conrad, J. The effects of derivatives on firm risk and value. J Financ Quant Anal 2011, 46(4), 967–999. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022109011000275
10. Chen, Y.; He, Z.; Zhang, S. Corporate hedging and financial performance during global uncertainty. J Corp Finance 2021, 70, 102056. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2021.102056
11. Wang, Y.; Wang, L. Corporate governance quality and firm resilience to economic policy shocks. Int Rev Financ Anal 2023, 85, 102501. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irfa.2022.102501
12. Xu, H.; Zhang, J.; Lin, M. Digital transformation and financial resilience: Evidence from Chinese listed companies. Technol Forecast Soc Change 2023, 188, 122289. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2023.122289
13. Deloitte Insights. Thriving through Uncertainty: Strategic Responses to Policy Volatility; Deloitte Development LLC: New York, NY, USA, 2022. Available online: https://www2.deloitte.com
14. Arifin, M.; Pratama, R. Pengaruh ketidakpastian kebijakan terhadap investasi sektor manufaktur di Indonesia. J Ekonomi Pembangunan Indones 2024, 25(1), 1–15.
15. Gitman, L.J.; Zutter, C.J. Principles of Managerial Finance, 15th ed.; Pearson Education: Boston, MA, USA, 2022.
16. Zhang, Y.; Lin, B.; Zhou, Y. Economic policy uncertainty and corporate liquidity management: Evidence from China. Financ Res Lett 2020, 33, 101200. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2019.06.001
17. Luo, Y.; Wang, Q.; Xi, W. Corporate financial resilience and policy uncertainty: Evidence from listed firms. J Corp Finance 2022, 74, 102244. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2022.102244

Smart International Management Journal, December **2025**, Vol 2, No 4

18. Arouri, M.; El Ghoul, S.; Guedhami, O. ESG performance and firm resilience to economic policy uncertainty. J Bus Ethics 2023, 188(3), 689–712. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-022-05145-3
19. Li, S.; Yang, Y. Financial reporting quality and firm response to policy uncertainty. Account Finance 2019, 59(3), 1901–1929. https://doi.org/10.1111/acfi.12312
20. Caldara, D.; Iacoviello, M.; Molligo, P.; Prestipino, A.; Raffo, A. The economic effects of trade policy uncertainty. J Monet Econ 2020, 109, 38–59. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmoneco.2019.06.001
21. Nguyen, L.H.; Phan, H.V. Economic policy uncertainty and firm profitability: Evidence from emerging markets. Emerg Mark Rev 2022, 52, 100880. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ememar.2022.100880
22. Barua, S.; Managi, S. Derivatives use and firm performance under uncertainty: Evidence from global manufacturing firms. Int Rev Econ Finance 2022, 76, 344–360. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iref.2021.06.010
23. Brogaard, J.; Detzel, A.; Taboada, A.G. Political uncertainty and household finance. Rev Financ Stud 2020, 33(9), 4000–4047. https://doi.org/10.1093/rfs/hhaa024